

John Griffiths AS
Cadeirydd y Pwyllgor Cydraddoldeb, Llywodraeth Leol a Chymunedau
Senedd Cymru
Bae Caerdydd
Caerdydd CF99 1SN

26 Mehefin 2020



Annwyl Mr Griffiths,

Bill Llywodraeth Leol ac Etholiadau: Cymhwysedd Deddfwriaethol

Cyfarfu'r Archwilydd Cyffredinol yn ddiweddar â Julie James, y Gweinidog dros Dai a Llywodraeth Leol, i drafod argymhellion eich adroddiad Cyfnod 1 ar Fil Llywodraeth Leol ac Etholiadau. Yn dilyn y trafodaethau hynny, mae'r Archwilydd Cyffredinol wedi gofyn i mi anfon copi atoch o'r cyngor cyfreithiol, dyddiedig 10 Mehefin 2020, a dderbyniasom ynglŷn ag adran 118 o'r Bil.

Mae'r cyngor yn cyfeirio at gyngor gan Rhodri Williams QC, dyddiedig 14 Mawrth 2016, sy'n ymwneud â darpariaethau tebyg mewn Bil Llywodraeth Leol drafft cynharach. Er hwylustod i chi, amgaeaf y cyngor cynharach a rannwyd â Christine Chapman, eich rhagflaenydd, ynghyd â'r Llywydd, Cadeirydd y Pwyllgor Cyfrifon Cyhoeddus a Llywodraeth Cymru ar 14 Mawrth 2016.

Yn gryno, mae'r cyngor yn nodi bod adran 118 o'r Bil presennol y tu allan i gymhwysedd deddfwriaethol y Senedd. Byddem hefyd yn nodi, o ystyried y cyswllt a'r cydlynu addas a ddarperir ar sail ddewisol drwy "Arolygu Cymru", nad yw adran 118 yn angenrheidiol.

Gobeithio y bydd hyn yn ddefnyddiol. Gellwch gysylltu â mi'n uniongyrchol drwy'r e-bost  neu drwy ffonio .

Yn gywir,



Martin Peters

Pennaeth Cyfraith a Moeseg

Amg: Llythyr cyngor Bates Wells dyddiedig 10 Mehefin 2020

Cyngor gan Rhodri Williams QC dyddiedig 14 Mawrth 2016

**IN THE MATTER OF THE WALES AUDIT OFFICE
AND IN THE MATTER OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT (WALES) BILL 2015
AND IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPETENCE OF THE NATIONAL ASSEMBLY
FOR WALES UNDER THE GOVERNMENT OF WALES ACT 2006**

ADVICE

1. I am asked to advise the Wales Audit Office in relation to the draft Local Government (Wales) Bill (“the draft Bill”) and on certain provisions which may conflict with the independence of the Auditor General for Wales, as set out in section 8(1) of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2013 (“the PA(W)A 2013”) and as prohibited by section 108(6) and paragraph 2 of Part 2 of Schedule 7 to the Government of Wales Act 2006 (“GOWA 2006”), which set out restrictions on the competence of the National Assembly for Wales to legislate in the areas of law devolved to it.
2. In particular, I am asked (i) whether section 143 of the draft Bill (which provides for co-ordination of relevant functions between relevant regulators) lies outside the competence of the National Assembly and whether any regulations made under section 143(2) and (3) that purported to have an effect that compromised the Auditor General’s discretion would be invalid; I am also asked (ii) whether section 132 (which requires the Auditor General to provide facilities, assistance and Information to Welsh Government appointed reviewers) and (iii) section 144 (which requires the Auditor General to have regard to Welsh Government guidance) are also beyond competence.
3. Finally, following consultation held in Chambers, I am also asked the same question in relation (iv) to sections 124 and 127 (which deal with the duty to carry out combined assessments of governance arrangements of county councils). This Advice is provided further to advice given in consultation on 1st March 2016.

Background

4. On 24th November 2015, the Welsh Government published the draft Bill and opened a consultation exercise seeking views on it with a closing date for responses of 15th February 2016. The Consultation Document states that "*[t]he objective of the Draft Bill is to complete the programme of Local Authority mergers and set out a new and reformed legislative framework for Local Authority democracy, accountability, performance and elements of finance*". Part 5 of the Draft Bill sets out arrangements which, according to the Welsh Government's Consultation Document, are intended to provide for a new improvement regime: The Consultation Document explains at page 38 that Chapter 5 of Part 5 aims specifically to allow for better co-ordination between the "regulators".

5. Section 143 of the draft Bill on the "*co-ordination of relevant functions of relevant regulators*" provides in particular that:
 - (1) *The relevant regulators must have regard to the need for co-ordination in the exercise of relevant functions.*
 - (2) *The Welsh Ministers may by regulations make further provision for and in connection with the co-ordination of the exercise by relevant regulators of relevant functions.*
 - (3) *Regulations made under subsection (2) may, among other things, make provision for-*
 - (a) *a timetable for the exercise of relevant functions to be prepared and published;*
 - (b) *relevant regulators to be required to adhere to the timetable;*
 - (c) *information to be shared between relevant regulators in connection with the exercise of relevant functions;*
 - (d) *relevant regulators to consult one another in relation to the exercise of relevant functions.*

6. Section 123 of the draft Bill defines the Auditor General as a "*relevant regulator*" and the Auditor General's local government functions as "*relevant functions*". The other "relevant regulators" defined by the section are Her Majesty's Chief Inspector of Education & Training in Wales ("Estyn") and the Welsh Ministers exercising social services inspection functions ("CSSIW").

7. While the Consultation Document provides further explanatory notes and poses more detailed questions in respect of other Chapters of Part 5, no further explanation is given on the intention behind Chapter 5 of Part 5 in the Consultation Document. One is left with general question 5.1 posed in the Consultation Document which simply asks whether consultees have any comments on any of the provisions in Part 5 of the Draft Bill.
8. By his response to the Consultation dated 15th February 2016, the Auditor General stated:

"Conflict with audit independence"

Several aspects of Part 5 are not compatible with audit independence, which is a fundamental audit principle and essential for overall credibility of reporting on the stewardship of public resources, both at the local government level and the Welsh Government level. The greatest problem is in section 143, which sets out to empower the Welsh Ministers to make regulations for co-ordinating work of the Auditor General with work of Her Majesty's Chief Inspector of Education and Training in Wales (Estyn) and work of the Welsh Ministers in terms of their social services inspection functions (CSSIW). Using these powers, the Welsh Ministers would apparently be able to set timetables for when audit work is done and require the sharing of information.

These regulation-making provisions are at odds with section 8(1) of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2013, which says:

"The Auditor General has complete discretion as to the manner in which the functions of that office are exercised and is not subject to the discretion or control of the National Assembly or the Welsh Government."

The regulation-making provision therefore appears to provide the Welsh Ministers with the means in effect to amend section 8(1) of the 2013 Act. As we understand it, section 8(1) of the 2013 Act is protected from amendment by the Assembly by virtue of paragraphs 2 to 4 of Part II of Schedule 7 to the Government of Wales Act 2006. In particular, it appears to me that regulation-making provisions ought to be limited to the oversight or supervision of the Auditor General of his functions, which are properly matters for the Wales Audit Office and the National Assembly, rather than the Welsh Ministers, and any regulations that purported to have an effect that compromised the Auditor General's discretion would be invalid. (I am in the process of obtaining independent advice on this point.) Even if that analysis is not correct (and in any event there is scope for considerable confusion and expense), and such regulations were valid, then audit independence would be compromised."

9. The prohibition on making modifications of, or conferring power by subordinate legislation to make modifications of, section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013 applies "*in so far as that section relates to the Auditor General's exercise of functions free from the direction or control of the Assembly or Welsh Government*". The first question I am asked therefore is whether by the draft legislation the Assembly is seeking to confer power by subordinate legislation to make modifications of section 8(1) of the 2013 Act and whether making "modifications" (which might be said to relate only to actual legislative amendments) may include provisions in secondary legislation which interfere with the freedom enshrined in section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013. In addition, the question arises whether the Welsh Ministers' power to make regulations in relation to the Auditor General's exercise of audit functions amounts to "direction or control".

10. I am asked whether the section of the draft Bill that confers the regulation making power might be invalid given the wording of paragraph 2(2B) of Schedule 7 which states that "*a provision to which sub-paragraph (4) applies cannot...confer power to modify section 8(1) of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2013.*" The further question is whether this could make the whole provision invalid as it purports to confer power to modify 8(1), or, alternatively, whether it might still be valid insofar as it confers power to make regulations in respect of Estyn and CSSIW.

11. There is then also the question of whether the draft legislation would fall within the exceptions in subparagraphs (2A) and (4).

12. I am reminded that, when appearing before the Communities, Equality and Local Government Committee on 10th February 2016, the Welsh Minister described the Wales Audit Office's concerns about audit independence as "absurd". His explanation for this comment was that the Auditor General's independence was protected in statute, but he omitted to mention that the draft Bill's provisions would provide for regulations potentially at odds with those existing protections. In the same televised Committee session the Minister also said that he was "*surprised that the auditor general or his officers don't think that there is a role for them working, for example, with inspectorates to develop collection assessments of local authorities and I am surprised that they see this clause as a breach of their independence. I think their independence is guaranteed in statute.*"

13. Since the consultation held on 1st March 2016, I have been provided with a copy of the Report of the Communities, Equality and Local Government Committee on the Draft Bill dated March 2016. In respect of Part 5 of the Draft Bill ("County Councils: improvement of governance"), the report states, at paragraphs 49 – 50 that the Auditor General for Wales suggested that "combined assessments" provided for in section 124 were "likely to prove unnecessarily complicated" given the distinct roles and independence of the bodies involved and that the Auditor General for Wales raised concerns that "several aspects of Part 5 are not compatible with audit independence, which is a fundamental audit principle", in particular the powers in section 143 for the Welsh Ministers to make regulations for co-ordinating work of the Auditor General, Estyn and CSSIW and to set timetables for audit work.

14. The Report states at paragraph 50 that the Minister told the Committee that "*the Audit Office and the role of the auditor general are clearly laid down in statute*" and that as such the Auditor General for Wales' independence was "guaranteed". The Report ends with the words: "*While we acknowledge this view, we refer to the AGW's detailed comments and seek assurance that the provisions will not in any way compromise this independence.*"

Analysis

The relevant legislation

15. Section 108 GOWA 2006 provides:

“108 Legislative competence

(1) Subject to the provisions of this Part, an Act of the Assembly may make any provision that could be made by an Act of Parliament.

(2) An Act of the Assembly is not law so far as any provision of the Act is outside the Assembly's legislative competence.

(3) A provision of an Act of the Assembly is within the Assembly's legislative competence only if it falls within subsection (4) or (5).

(4) A provision of an Act of the Assembly falls within this subsection if–

(a) it relates to one or more of the subjects listed under any of the headings in Part 1 of Schedule 7 and, subject to subsection (4A), does not fall within any of the exceptions specified in that Part of that Schedule (whether or not under that heading or any of those headings), and

(b) it neither applies otherwise than in relation to Wales nor confers, imposes, modifies or removes (or gives power to confer, impose, modify or remove) functions exercisable otherwise than in relation to Wales.

(4A) Provision relating to a devolved tax (as listed under the heading “Taxation” in Part 1 of Schedule 7) is not outside the Assembly's legislative competence by reason only of the fact that it falls within an exception specified under another heading in that Part of that Schedule.

(5) A provision of an Act of the Assembly falls within this subsection if–

(a) it provides for the enforcement of a provision (of that or any other Act of the Assembly) which falls within subsection (4) or a provision of an Assembly Measure or it is otherwise appropriate for making such a provision effective, or

(b) it is otherwise incidental to, or consequential on, such a provision.

(6) But a provision which falls within subsection (4) or (5) is outside the Assembly's legislative competence if–

(a) it breaches any of the restrictions in Part 2 of Schedule 7, having regard to any exception in Part 3 of that Schedule from those restrictions,

(b) it extends otherwise than only to England and Wales, or

(c) it is incompatible with the Convention rights or with EU law.

(7) For the purposes of this section the question whether a provision of an Act of the Assembly relates to one or more of the subjects listed in Part 1 of Schedule 7 (or falls within any of the exceptions specified in that Part of that Schedule) is to be determined by reference to the purpose of the provision, having regard (among other things) to its effect in all the circumstances.”

16. The relevant provisions of paragraph 2 of Part II of Schedule 7 to the Government of Wales Act 2006 then provide:

"(1) A provision of an Act of the Assembly cannot make modifications of, or confer power by subordinate legislation to make modifications of, any of the provisions listed in the Table below-

Table

<i>Enactment</i>	<i>Provisions protected from modification</i>
<i>[...]</i>	<i>[...]</i>
<i>The Public Audit (Wales) Act 2013 (anaw 3)</i>	<i>Sections 2(1) to (3), 3(2) to (4), '6(2)" to (3) and section 8(1) in so far as that section relates to the Auditor General's exercise of functions free from the direction or control of the Assembly or Welsh Government."</i>

[...]

(2A) Subparagraph (1), so far as it applies in relation to sections 2(1) to 1(3), 3(2) to (4), 6(2) to (3) and 8(1) of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2013 does not apply in relation to any provision to which sub-paragraph (4) applies.

(2B) But, subject to sub-paragraph (2C), a provision to which sub-paragraph (4) applies cannot modify or confer power by subordinate legislation to modify section 8(1) of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2013.

(2C) Sub-paragraph (28) does not prevent the conferral of functions on a committee of the Assembly that-

(a) does not consist of or include any of the following persons-

- (i) the First Minister or any person designated to exercise the functions of the First Minister,*
- (ii) a Welsh Minister appointed under section 48,*
- (iii) the Counsel General or any person designated to exercise the functions of the Counsel General, or*
- (iv) a Deputy Welsh Minister, and*

(b) is not chaired by an Assembly member who is a member of a political group with an executive role.

[...]

(4) This sub-paragraph applies to a provision of an Act of the Assembly which-

(a) *is a provision relating to the oversight or supervision of the Auditor General or of the exercise of the Auditor General's functions,*

(b) *provides for the enforcement of a provision falling within paragraph (a) or is otherwise appropriate for making such a provision effective, or*

(c) *is otherwise incidental to, or consequential on, such a provision."*

17. There is no question but that the draft Bill falls within section 108(4)(a) GOWA 2006, as its subject matter clearly comes within paragraph 14 of Part 1 to Schedule 7¹. The question is therefore whether it nevertheless falls outside the competence of the National Assembly for Wales because it falls within the prohibition contained in section 108(6)(a) having regard to the restrictions in Part 2 of Schedule 7.

18. I consider that section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013 contains an important principle in enshrining the complete discretion which the Auditor General for Wales has as to the manner in which the functions of his office are exercised and that he should not be subject to the direction or control of the National Assembly or the Welsh Government. This discretion is, by virtue of section 8(2), subject to only three exceptions, which are then set out in section 8(3), namely that he must aim to carry out his functions efficiently and cost-effectively (section 8(3)(a)); have regard, as he considers appropriate, to the standards and principles that an expert professional provider of accounting or auditing services would expect to follow (section 8(3)(b)); and have regard to advice given to him by the Wales Audit Office, notably under section 17(3) (section 8(3)(c)).

19. The prohibition found in paragraph 2(1) of Part 2 to Schedule 7 GOWA 2006 only applies to section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013 "*in so far as that section relates to the Auditor General's exercise of functions free from the direction or control of the Assembly or Welsh Government*". However, I should point out straightaway that this apparent restriction goes to the very heart of the principle set out in section 8(1) and that it is difficult to envisage a breach of the protection provided by paragraph 2(1) of Part 2 to Schedule 7 in respect of section 8(1) which did not relate to the Auditor General's exercise of functions free from direction or control. The same may not be true of sections 2(1) – (3), 3(2) – (4) and 6 (2) – (3) which are not subject to such a restriction.

¹ As amended by the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011

20. I consider that the protection of the principle in section 8(1) that the Auditor General “*is not subject to the direction or control of the National Assembly or the Welsh Government*” is closely linked to the protection that the Auditor General “*has complete discretion as to the manner in which the functions of that office are exercised*” in the sense that it is difficult to conceive of a breach of the protection against direction and control which does not impinge upon the protection against complete discretion. However, as a strict matter of statutory interpretation, the prohibition in the Table in paragraph 2(1) of Part 2 to Schedule 7, only extends to the protection from “**direction and control...**”.

21. Paragraph 2(2A) of Part 2 to Schedule 7 then provides, among other things, that the prohibition found in paragraph 2(1) does not apply, in respect of section 8(1), to any provision to which paragraph 2(4) applies. Paragraph 2(4) provides for an exception to the prohibition in respect of an Act of the National Assembly which (a) provides for the oversight or supervision of the Auditor General or of the exercise of his functions, or (b) provides for the enforcement of such a provision or is otherwise appropriate for making such a provision effective, or (c) is otherwise incidental to, or consequential on, such a provision.

22. However, by virtue of paragraphs 2(2B) & (2C), the exception in paragraph 2(4) does not apply to the modification of section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013, unless it is by way of the conferral of a function on a committee of the Assembly which is so constituted so as not to include any Minister, Deputy Minister or Counsel General and is not chaired by any Assembly member who is a member of a political group with an executive role. I do not consider that the provisions which I am asked to consider in the draft Bill do confer any such function on a Committee of the Assembly, however, constituted. I do not therefore

consider that the exception to the prohibition found in paragraph 2(4) of Schedule 7 has any relevance to the provisions found in the draft Bill, for present purposes.

23. I do bear in mind, nevertheless, the provisions of section 154 GOWA 2006². This section, which by section 154(1)(b) applies to any provision of an Act of the Assembly or a Bill for such an Act, which could be read in such a way as to be outside the Assembly's legislative competence, provides at section 154(2):

“The provision is to be read as narrowly as is required for it to be within competence or within the powers, if such a reading is possible, and is to have effect accordingly.”

Section 143 of the draft Bill

24. I consider that section 143(1) (set out above), which provides that the Auditor General for Wales, as one of the “relevant regulators” (defined in section 123(1)(a)) must have regard to the need for co-ordination (with the other relevant regulators) in the exercise of relevant functions (defined in section 123(1)(b) & (2)(c)³ to include auditing the accounts of a county council under Part 2 Chapter 1 of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2004, the undertaking of studies under Part 2 Chapter 2 of the same Act and the carrying out of examinations of a county council under section 15 of the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015), amounts to a modification by way of an implied amendment to the provision of section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013. It does so by implying a further exception to the general principle set out in section 8(1) by adding to the current exceptions which apply by virtue of section 8(2) & (3). It is thus inconsistent with section 8(1) as currently drafted.

² For its application, see *Attorney General v National Assembly for Wales Commission & Others* [2012] UKSC 53; [2013] 1 AC 792

³ It is worth noting that the previous definition of “relevant regulator” and “relevant functions” found in section 16 of the Local Government (Wales) Measure 2009, excluded the Auditor General for Wales, though the Auditor General was otherwise given various functions under the 2009 Measure

25. Such an implied amendment occurs when a later enactment such as the draft Bill does not expressly amend (whether textually or indirectly) an earlier enactment such as the PA(W)A 2013, but when the provisions of the later enactment are inconsistent with those of the earlier. The later enactment by implication thus amends the earlier, so far as is necessary to remove the inconsistency between them⁴.

26. I therefore consider that section 143(1) therefore falls foul of the prohibition found in section 108(6)(a) of and paragraph 2(1) of Part 2 of Schedule 7 to GOWA 2006 in that it seeks to make modifications of a provision listed in Table A, namely the provision in section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013, that the Auditor General is not subject to the direction of control of the National Assembly or the Welsh Government.

27. Likewise, I consider that section 143(2) and (3), which provides for a power for the Welsh Ministers to make further provisions by regulations for the co-ordination of the exercise by relevant regulators of relevant functions, which regulations, may include provision for a timetable for the exercise of such relevant functions, or require relevant regulators to adhere to such a timetable, or for information to be shared between relevant regulators and for them to consult one another in relation to the exercise of relevant functions, also falls foul of the prohibition in section 108(6)(a) of and paragraph 2(1) of Part 2 of Schedule 7 to GOWA 2006 in that it seeks to confer power by subordinate legislation to make modifications of a provision listed in Table A, namely section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013.

⁴ See Halsbury's Laws of England (5th Edition) Volume 96 at paragraph 690

28. An issue was raised, in respect of section 143(2), as to whether it would be sufficient for the legislator to argue that there was no modification until the Regulations postulated under the subsection were actually made⁵. I do not think that this argument has any force. The prohibition in paragraph 2(1) of Part 2 to Schedule 7 GOWA 2006 is not simply on the making of modifications but also on the conferring of “**power to by subordinate legislation to make modifications**”. Section 143(3)(a) – (d) (regulations about imposed timetables, sharing of information and consultation between relevant regulators) does just this.

29. I am instructed that in practice, Regulations made under section 143 which might provide for a timetable for the exercise of “*relevant functions*” and for adherence to that timetable could lead to situations where the Auditor General’s audit work at local authorities was curtailed. This, in turn, would lead to the following problems. It would prevent necessary testing of controls and transactions being completed so preventing full information being provided for the Auditor General’s audit opinion (section 23 of PA(W)A 2004). In such circumstances, the Auditor General would have to provide qualified opinions on the grounds of uncertainty, and this would significantly impair the reliability of financial reporting by local authorities and undermine accountability.

30. Moreover, I am told that it would tend to prevent the Auditor General producing immediate reports in the public interest under section 22 of PA(W)A 2004 regarding matters coming to his notice in the course of audit, such as unlawful expenditure. A typical scenario would entail a matter becoming apparent towards the end of the timetabled period for the audit. As reports cannot be produced instantly, the publication of a report in the public interest would be prevented by the passing of the end date of the timetabled audit period. As public interest matters are usually fairly complex and sensitive, and so require some time to deal with, this effect is quite likely. Again, this

⁵ The same point could be made in respect of section 127 of the draft Bill (see further below)

effect of the timetable would significantly undermine the accountability of local authorities, particularly in relation to unlawful expenditure and significant failures of governance.

31. Furthermore, it could prevent the Auditor General compiling sufficient evidence for his studies for making recommendations for improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the provision of services and financial management. The quality of analysis would therefore be likely to suffer, and appropriate recommendations might be omitted. Such a situation could also be exploited by persons wishing to confound the Auditor General's studies. For example, a study considering whether contractors were meeting their obligations could be confounded by unscrupulous contractors delaying the provision of information required by the Auditor General until the end of the allotted period for the exercise of study functions. Finally, a timetable could also prevent the Auditor General from undertaking studies at appropriate times. Timely examination of a time-specific issue, such as examination of arrangements for securing value for money in major construction projects, could therefore be undermined.

32. I am also instructed that any Regulations made under section 143 that provided for information to be shared between "relevant regulators" could lead to the Auditor General being under an obligation to share information that is not appropriate. Unlike section 132(4) (see further below), there is no exception in section 143 to allow for prohibitions on disclosure. The likely practical problem that would arise from this would be in relation to information that gives early indications of performance problems. This could lead to early uncorroborated indications of problems being shared between the review bodies leading to an expansion of work by those bodies before, for example, relevant individuals in authorities can be given the opportunity to comment. In other words, this could lead to premature actions on the basis of hearsay. This in turn would be likely to lead to wider reticence and even obstruction in the provision of information by authority staff, so hindering the efficient exercise of all the Auditor General's local authority functions.

33. Such Regulations would also present another issue, as section 54 of PA(W)A 2004 prohibits disclosure of information obtained in the course of audit, subject to certain exceptions. There is an exception for the provision of information for the purposes of Welsh Ministers' functions in relation to social services (section 54(2)(e)), but there is no equivalent for Estyn. If the Auditor General considered that disclosure to Estyn would prejudice his statutory functions, and if he could not get consent to disclose from the body to which the information relates, he would potentially be subject to conflicting statutory duties: one to disclose information under regulations made under section 143 of the draft Bill, and another not to disclose that same information under section 54 PA(W)A 2004, since no other exception to the section 54 prohibition would apply in this situation. It would appear that he could not avoid breach of statutory duty in such case. As well as being a legal problem in its own right, such cases would be problematic in terms of consuming resources in activities such as seeking consent for disclosure and consideration of the legal issues.

34. Nor do I consider that section 154(2) GOWA 2006 could operate to remove the Auditor General from the scope of section 143 of the draft Bill, since a combination of section 143(1) and the definition section in section 123(1)(2) means that such a reading is not possible. Given the clear principle set out in section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013, that the Auditor General is not subject to the direction or control of the National Assembly or the Welsh Government, I do not consider that it is possible to read section 143 as compatible with the prohibition found in section 108(6)(a) of and paragraph 2(1) of Part 2 of Schedule 7 to GOWA 2006. I therefore consider that in enacting section 143 of the Draft Bill, the National Assembly would be acting outside its competence under section 108.

35. If the definition of relevant regulators were modified, however, so as to exclude the Auditor General for Wales, whereupon section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013 would cease to be relevant, and the prohibition found in section 108(6)(a) of and paragraph 2(1) of Part 2 of Schedule 7 to GOWA 2006 would cease to apply, then the provision of section 143 could still apply to the other two relevant regulators, namely Estyn and the Welsh Ministers exercising CSSIW functions under the Social Services and Well-being (Wales) Act 2014. I am also conscious of the rule in *Attorney General-v-Lamplough*, whereby where an Act is amended, whether by repeal of part of its provisions or otherwise, and the question arises whether the amendment affects the legal meaning of the remainder of the Act, unless the contrary intention appears from the amending Act, the repeal or other amendment of part of the Act does not affect the construction of the remainder⁶.

Section 144 of the draft Bill

36. Section 144 of the draft Bill simply provides, under the heading Guidance, that “A *person exercising functions under this Part* (Part 5 County Councils: Improvement of Governance) *must have regard to any guidance issued by the Welsh Ministers.*” Since the obligation under the section is simply to have regard to the guidance issued by the Welsh Ministers and because it would be possible to interpret this provision, by virtue of the application of section 154(2) GOWA 2006, not to empower the Welsh Ministers to give guidance which would impinge upon the protection granted by section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013, I do not consider that this section falls foul of the prohibition found in section 108(6)(a) of and paragraph 2(1) of Part 2 of Schedule 7 to GOWA 2006.

⁶ See Halsbury’s Laws of England (5th Edition) Volume 96 at paragraph 691

Section 132 of the draft Bill

37. Section 132 provides as follows:

“132 Provision of information by other persons

(1) A reviewer carrying out a governance review in respect of a county council may require a person within subsection (3) to provide the reviewer with whatever facilities and assistance the reviewer may reasonably require for the purpose of carrying out the review, including among other things by providing documents, records and other information.

(2) That person must take all reasonable steps to comply with the requirement.

(3) The persons are—

- (a) the public services board for the county council's area;*
- (b) the Local Health Board for an area any part of which is in the county council's area;*
- (c) a community council in the county council's area;*
- (d) Her Majesty's Chief Inspector of Education and Training in Wales;*
- (e) the Auditor General for Wales.*

(4) A person is not required by this section to provide documents, records or other information if the person is prohibited from providing them by any enactment or other rule of law.

(5) The power in subsection (1) includes power to require documents or records to be produced in a form which is legible and portable.

(6) The Welsh Ministers may by regulations amend subsection (3) by—

- (a) adding or removing a person, or*
- (b) varying the description of a person.”*

38. Section 132(2) & (3)(e) together provide that the Auditor General for Wales may be obliged to take all reasonable steps to comply with a requirement laid down by a reviewer carrying out a governance review to provide not just documents, records and other information but also “*whatever facilities and assistance the reviewer may reasonably require for the purpose of carrying out the review*”. This could conceivably amount to a considerable burden on the administrative freedom which the Auditor General currently enjoys. The question is whether the duty to comply with this requirement impinges upon and thus impliedly amends the complete discretion as to the

manner in which the functions of the Auditor General's office are exercised, pursuant to section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013.

39. In practice, I am advised that a requirement to provide facilities and assistance in the form of audit staff could very well mean that staff are taken off the audit or study work for which they are provided. For example, staff who had worked on a study of some aspect of governance arrangements in authorities could be drawn back to assist the Welsh Minister appointed reviewer to use that work and this could prevent them undertaking potentially more pressing work on a new study. I am told that Wales Audit Office operational teams are quite small (for example studies are often undertaken by only 2 to 3 people) so any redeployment for Welsh Minister-appointed reviewer purposes could have significant consequences for the Auditor General for Wales' work programme.

40. In addition to the deflection of resources, referred to above, and the problems stemming from being required to share uncorroborated evidence, also mentioned above, there is scope for disproportionate resources to be taken up in determining what information must be shared. This is because section 132(4) includes an exception from the requirement to provide information where provision is prohibited by an enactment or other rule of law. I am told that whilst this exception is in itself appropriate, the overall effect could be very time consuming in that time would have to be spent considering whether an exception to the section 54 of PA(W)A 2004 prohibition on disclosure of information would apply.

41. There is an exception in section 54 for persons who are, or who act on behalf of, public authorities for the purposes of FOIA (this covers the Auditor General and staff of the Wales Audit Office), where disclosure would not prejudice statutory functions. Staff of the Wales Audit Office would therefore need to consider all information to be disclosed to the Welsh Minister-appointed reviewer in terms of whether it falls within the available exceptions, which, in the majority of cases, would involve considering

whether provision of information would lead to prejudice to statutory functions. In effect, meeting the requirements of Welsh Minister-appointed reviewers would be akin to the handling of very large and ongoing FOIA requests.

42. On balance, therefore, and despite the provision of the exception found in section 132(4), I consider that section 132(1) – (3) contains a modification by way of an implied amendment to the provision of section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013. Again, it does so by implying a further exception to the general principle set out in section 8(1) that the Auditor General is not subject to the direction of control of the National Assembly or the Welsh Government, by adding to the current exceptions which apply by virtue of section 8(2) & (3). It is thus inconsistent with section 8(1) as currently drafted.

43. I therefore consider that section 132(1) – (3) falls foul of the prohibition found in section 108(6)(a) of and paragraph 2(1) of Part 2 of Schedule 7 to GOWA 2006 in that it seeks to make modifications of a provision listed in Table A, namely section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013. Again, given the clear principle set out in section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013, I do not consider that it is possible to read section 132 as compatible with the prohibition found in section 108(6)(a) of and paragraph 2(1) of Part 2 of Schedule 7 to GOWA 2006, by virtue of the application of section 154(2) GOWA 2016.

Section 124 and section 127 of the draft Bill

44. Section 124 provides:

“Duty to carry out combined assessment of governance arrangements of county council

(1) The relevant regulators must, at such intervals as they think fit, carry out a combined assessment in relation to each county council.

(2) A combined assessment is an assessment of a county council’s compliance with its duties under section 111 carried out jointly by the relevant regulators, based on a review by the relevant regulators of—

(a) reports relating to the council made by each of the relevant regulators in the exercise of their relevant functions,

(b) information available to the relevant regulators as a result of exercising their relevant functions in relation to the council, and

(c) documents published in relation to the council under this Part.

(3) A relevant regulator may share reports and information within subsection (2)(a) and (b) with the other relevant regulators for the purposes of a combined assessment.

(4) In carrying out a combined assessment, the relevant regulators may consult any other person who exercises functions of a public nature in relation to the council.”

And section 127 provides:

“Further provision about combined assessments

The Welsh Ministers may by regulations make provision about—

(a) when a combined assessment must be carried out;

(b) the form and content of a combined assessment report and response;

(c) when and how the report and response must be published.

45. I consider that section 124(1), for the same reason as section 143(1), in imposing an obligation on relevant regulators, at regular intervals, to carry out a combined assessment jointly with the other relevant regulators in relation to each county council, amounts to a modification by way of an implied amendment to the provision of section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013. It does so by implying a further exception to the general principle set out in section 8(1) that the Auditor General is not subject to the direction of control of the National Assembly or the Welsh Government, by adding to the current exceptions which apply by virtue of section 8(2) & (3). It is thus inconsistent with section 8(1) as currently drafted.

46. Whilst it is true that the frequency of such assessments is a matter for the discretion of each relevant regulator, it must be done regularly and, more importantly, it must be carried out jointly with the other relevant regulators. This must impinge on the otherwise complete discretion enjoyed by the Auditor General for Wales, under section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013.

47. In practice, I am told that section 124 would require the Auditor General to carry out assessments based on reports and information available from exercise of “relevant functions” (as defined by section 123). However, the relevant functions do not specifically address all the matters required to be addressed by section 124 (i.e. compliance with section 111). In particular, compliance with the requirements set out in regulations made under section 111(3) and (4), would not necessarily be addressed by the audit of accounts or studies under PA(W)A 2004. This would mean either complete assessments could not be provided, or the Auditor General for Wales would effectively be yielding to pressure created by the Welsh Ministers’ regulations under section 111(3) and (4) to undertake studies of the requirements set out in those regulations (to the extent that such matters lend themselves to study). This would tend to act as a fettering of the Auditor General for Wales’ choice of studies and would displace resources.

48. Furthermore, section 124(2) requires joint assessments by the Auditor General and the other “relevant regulators”, and section 125 requires them to try to reach agreement about the matters to be set out in combined assessment reports. Apart from these requirements appearing to be at odds with the Auditor General’s “complete discretion” under section 8(1) of PA(W)A 2013, in practice, discussions to reach agreement on assessments and reporting would take up time that could be applied to other functions. There is also scope for disagreement in relation to the “intervals” at which joint assessments should be undertaken under section 124, which will also take up time to discuss and resolve. I note, however, that sections 124(3) & (4) dealing with the sharing of reports and information and consulting with other persons, impose no additional obligations on the Auditor General for Wales.

49. Likewise, and for the same reason as section 143(2), I consider that section 127, in providing for a power for the Welsh Ministers to make further provisions by regulations about when a combined assessment may be carried out, the form and content of a combined assessment report and response and as to how and when the report and response must be published, also falls foul of the prohibition in section 108(6)(a) of and paragraph 2(1) of Part 2 of Schedule 7 to GOWA 2006 in that it seeks to confer power by subordinate legislation to make modifications of a provision listed in Table A, namely section 8(1) PA(W)A 2013. As with section 143(2), it does not avail the National Assembly to argue that there is no modification until the Regulations postulated by section 127 are actually made, since the prohibition in paragraph 2(1) of Part 2 to Schedule 7 GOWA 2006 covers the conferring of a power by subordinate legislation to make modifications, and section 127(a) – (c) (governing regulations prescribing the timing, form and content of combined assessments and reports and responses to these) does just this.

50. Again, I do not consider that it is possible to read sections 124 or 127 as compatible with the prohibition found in section 108(6)(a) of and paragraph 2(1) of Part 2 of Schedule 7 to GOWA 2006, by virtue of the application of section 154(2) GOWA 2016. I therefore consider that in enacting sections 124 and 127 of the Draft Bill, the National Assembly would be acting outside its competence under section 108.

Section 181 of the draft Bill

51. Finally, and for the sake of completeness, mention was made in consultation of section 181 of the Draft Bill, which provides:

"181 Power to make consequential and transitional provision etc.

(1) If the Welsh Ministers consider it necessary or expedient for the purpose of giving full effect to any provision of Parts 2 to 7 (other than Chapter 1, of Part 6) (of this Act, or in consequence of any such provision, they may by regulations make -

(a) any supplemental, incidental, or consequential provision;

(b) any transitory, transitional or saving provision.

(2) Regulations under subsection (1) may amend, modify, repeal or revoke any enactment."

52. This is a general provision, frequently found in primary legislation and it expressly applies to Parts 2 to 7 of the Bill and not just to the relevant parts of Part 5. I consider therefore that this is precisely the type of provisions to which section 154(2) would apply with the result that, however, one might be able to interpret this section, it should be read narrowly so as to not to apply to provisions dealing with the Auditor General for Wales, with the result that the section will be within the competence of the National Assembly for the purposes of section 108 of and Schedule 7 to GOWA 2006.

Conclusion

53. In conclusion, I consider that there are significant legislative competence difficulties with the current drafting of sections 124, 127, 132 and 143 of the draft Bill, which would mean that the National Assembly would either not have competence to pass the Act in the first place, pursuant to section 108(6) of and paragraph 2(1) of Schedule 7 to GOWA 2006, or would not have the power thereafter to make provision by way of secondary legislation in the form of Regulations dealing with the matters set out in sections 143(2)(3) and 127 of the draft Bill.

54. What the Minister appears to have been referring to in his comments on the concerns raised by the Wales Audit Office in the Auditor General for Wales' response to the consultation process, in his letter to the Chair of the Communities, Equality and Local Government Committee and in the evidence given by the Wales Audit Office officials before the Committee, is the general principle that it is a good idea for the Wales Audit Office to work collaboratively with other inspectorates to develop collective assessments of local authorities when that is considered appropriate by the parties concerned. No one, of course, is questioning this. Nor is the Minister questioning the independence of the Auditor General for Wales, as protected by Statute.

55. What the Minister does not appear to have addressed directly, however, is whether pursuit of the former principle has impinged on the latter with the result that there does arise a serious issue with the competence of the National Assembly for Wales to pass the draft Bill in its current form. Given that the Minister expressly acknowledges the statutory importance of the independence of the office of the Auditor General for Wales and given that National Assembly for Wales' Communities, Equality and Local Government Committee recognises in its March 2016 Report on the draft Bill the importance of the concerns raised by the Auditor General for Wales and seeks assurances from the Minister that the draft Bill's provisions will in no way compromise the Auditor General's independence, it is to be hoped that the draft Bill can be suitably amended before it is placed before the National Assembly for consideration as part of the legislative process.

Rhodri Williams QC

14.iii.16

Thirty Park Place Chambers
Cardiff

**IN THE MATTER OF THE WALES
AUDIT OFFICE
AND IN THE MATTER OF THE LOCAL
GOVERNMENT (WALES) BILL 2015
AND IN THE MATTER OF THE
COMPETENCE OF THE NATIONAL
ASSEMBLY FOR WALES UNDER THE
GOVERNMENT OF WALES ACT 2006**

ADVICE

**Bates Wells Braithwaite
10 Queen Street Place
London
EC4R 1BE**

██

██

██

Ref. CLW/TS/214495/0010/MC

F.a.o Melanie Carter/Claire Whittle

Mr Martin Peters
Wales Audit Office
24 Cathedral Road
Cardiff
CF11 9LJ

[REDACTED]
Your ref:
Our ref: CLW/JW/214495/0046/MC

10 June 2020

[REDACTED]
Dear Martin

Local Government and Elections (Wales) Bill: Senedd Competence (AG/20/01) PO L&E 10

1. Introduction and summary

1.1 You have asked us to advise on a statutory interpretation issue relating to section 118 of the Local Government and Elections (Wales) Bill and section 8(1) of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2013 ("**PAWA 2013**"). You have asked us to advise on whether the view of the Assembly (now Senedd) Equality, Local Government and Communities Committee (the "**ELGC Committee**"), as set out in its report, is correct as a matter of law. We refer in this letter of advice to the report and to the previous advice of Rhodri Williams QC dated 14 March 2016 which relates to a similar provision in a previous Bill.

1.2 In summary, our view is that the ELGC Committee's view, as set out in its report, is wrong as a matter of law.

2. The report and relevant legislative provisions

2.1 The relevant section of the report states:

"643. The AGW also raised a concern as to whether section 118 may be outside the Assembly's legislative competence: "This is because the section requires the Auditor General to have regard to the need for co-ordination in the exercise of functions, which amounts to a modification by way of an implied amendment to section 8(1) of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2013. Section 8(1) of the 2013 Act says that the Auditor General has complete discretion in the exercise of his functions and is not subject to direction by the Welsh Ministers. Section 118 therefore seems to fall foul of the prohibition found in section 108(6)(a) and para 5 of Part 1 of Schedule 7B to GOWA 2006, which protects section 8(1) of the 2013 Act from amendment. I recognise that section 118 of the Bill is in part a restatement of section 23 of the 2009 Measure. However, the 2013 Act is subsequent to the 2009 Measure, so its provisions prevail—section 23 of the 2009 Measure was no longer valid following the commencement of the 2013 Act, so cannot be restated."

644. We acknowledge the concerns raised by the AGW in relation to coordinating inspection timetables with other inspectorates. However, we understand that, since the provisions replicate arrangements from the Local Government (Wales) Measure

2009, they should not result in any significant changes. We welcome the Minister's commitment to further engagement with the AGW, and trust this provides the clarity needed.

645. We note the Auditor General's concerns about the Assembly's legislative competence around the provisions in section 118. We have given this further consideration. Whilst paragraph 5 of Part 1 of Schedule 7B to the Government of Wales Act 2006, places specific restrictions on the Assembly in relation to amending the Public Audit Wales Act 2013, paragraph 5 contains exceptions to this rule and the Assembly may amend the Act if such provisions are "oversight provisions" and "non-governmental committee provisions".

646. An "oversight provision" is defined as a provision that relates to the oversight or supervision of the Auditor General or the exercise of the Auditor General's functions". Section 118 is clearly an oversight provision.

647. Secondly, a "non-governmental committee provision" is a provision conferring functions on a committee of the Assembly that "a) does not consist of or include members of the Welsh Government, and b) is not chaired by an Assembly Member who is a member of a political group with an executive role. The oversight and scrutiny of the Auditor General for Wales is undertaken by the Assembly's Finance Committee. Standing Order 18.13 prohibits the Finance Committee from being chaired by a member of a political group with an executive role. The Committee does not consist of any members of the Welsh Government.

648. We are therefore content that section 118 of the Bill is within the legislative competence of the Assembly because the relevant exceptions to the rule that prohibit the Assembly from amending specific provisions of the Public Audit Wales Act 2013 apply."

2.2 Section 118 (and section 119) of the Bill are set out in the Appendix to this advice. In summary, s118 requires the Auditor General for Wales ("**AGW**") and relevant regulators to have regard to the need for coordination in the exercise of their relevant functions, and requires the AGW to produce a timetable which sets out his opinion as to the dates when the relevant regulators and AGW should exercise their respective functions.

2.3 Section 8(1) of PAWA 2013 provides:

"(1) The Auditor General has complete discretion as to the manner in which the functions of that office are exercised and is not subject to the direction or control of the National Assembly or the Welsh Government."

(The reference in s8(1) to "the National Assembly" has not been updated to reflect the change in name to "the Senedd")

2.4 Section 108A of the Government of Wales Act 2006 ("**GOWA 2006**") (which replaced s108 on 1 April 2018) provides in so far as it is relevant:

"(1) An Act of the Senedd is not law so far as any provision of the Act is outside the Senedd's legislative competence.

(2) A provision is outside that competence so far as any of the following paragraphs apply— [...]

(d) it breaches any of the restrictions in Part 1 of Schedule 7B, having regard to any exception in Part 2 of that Schedule from those restrictions;”

2.5 Paragraph 5 of Schedule 7B provides, in so far as it is relevant:

“(3) A provision of an Act of the Senedd cannot, unless it is an oversight provision and also a non-governmental committee provision—

(a) make modifications of section 8(1) of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2013 so far as that section relates to the Auditor General's exercise of functions free from the direction or control of the Senedd or Welsh Government, or

(b) confer power by subordinate legislation to do so.

(4) An “oversight provision” is a provision of an Act of the Senedd that:

(a) relates to the oversight or supervision of the Auditor General or of the exercise of the Auditor General's functions, or

(b) is ancillary to a provision falling within paragraph (a).

(5) A “non-governmental committee provision” is a provision conferring functions on a committee of the Senedd that:

(a) does not consist of or include members of the Welsh Government, and

(b) is not chaired by a member of the Senedd who is a member of a political group with an executive role,

or a provision conferring power by subordinate legislation to do so.”

2.6 Part 2 of Schedule 7B provides, in so far as relevant:

“13 Restatement

(1) Part 1 does not prevent an Act of the Senedd—

(a) restating the law (or restating it with such modifications as are not prevented by that Part), or

(b) repealing or revoking any spent enactment,
or conferring power by subordinate legislation to do so.”

3. Legal advice

3.1 We consider that s118 of the Bill falls within the restriction under s108A(2) and paragraph 5 of Schedule 7B, in that it is a provision which makes modifications of section 8(1) PAWA 2013 so far as that section relates to the Auditor General's exercise of functions free from the direction or control of the Senedd or Welsh Government. That does not appear to be disputed by the ELGC Committee.

- 3.2 We have considered whether legislation of itself constitutes the ‘direction or control’ of the Senedd or Government and consider that the words lend themselves more readily to other forms of action such as actual directions issued by the Senedd or Government, or if it was the intention that the words would include legislation this could have been expressly stated. In this regard, we note that the wording of s118 of the draft Bill differs from the draft legislation which Mr Williams QC advised on, in that the latter gave the Welsh Ministers the power to *“by regulations make further provision for and in connection with the co-ordination of the exercise by relevant regulators of relevant functions”* and we can see that such regulations would potentially lead to direction or control. That said, the point has not been raised by the ELGC Committee, nor previously by Mr Williams QC, and therefore it can be put to one side.
- 3.3 We also note in relation to this that under the provisions of the draft Bill the Welsh Ministers are one of the “relevant regulators” which the AGW would be required to co-ordinate with. The AGW would be obliged to consult with Welsh Ministers in relation to the proposed timetable and assist the Welsh Ministers in the discharge of their relevant regulatory functions.
- 3.4 We do not consider that s118 of the Bill falls within the exception set out in paragraph 5 of Schedule 7B because we cannot see anything in s118 which confers functions on a relevant committee of the Senedd. The ELGC Committee report notes that the oversight and scrutiny of the AGW is undertaken by the Assembly’s (now Senedd’s) Finance Committee. However, there is nothing in section 118 which confers functions on that committee.
- 3.5 In our view, the sections of paragraph 5 of Schedule 7B referred to above, read as a whole, are intended to carve out an exception to provide for specific oversight or supervision of the AGW by a relevant committee of the Senedd, such as the Finance Committee.
- 3.6 We note that our view is consistent with Mr Williams QC’s opinion, see in particular paragraph 22.
- 3.7 We consider that section 118 of the draft Bill amounts to a modification by way of an implied amendment to the provision of section 8(1) PAWA 2013. It does so by implying an exception to the general principle set out in s8(1). As a result, it is inconsistent with s8(1) as currently drafted. As set out in Mr Williams QC’s opinion, an implied amendment occurs when a later enactment such as the draft Bill does not expressly amend (whether textually or indirectly) an earlier enactment such as PAWA 2013, but when the provisions of the later enactment are inconsistent with those of the earlier. The later enactment by implication thus amends the earlier, so far as is necessary to remove the inconsistency between them.
- 3.8 In our view, section 118 (and s119) of the draft Bill fall foul of the prohibition in s108A(2)(d) and paragraph 5 of Part 1 of Schedule 7B to GOWA 2006 in that they seek to make modifications to section 8(1) PAWA that the AGW is not subject to the direction or control of the Senedd or the Welsh Government.
- 3.9 We understand that the provisions of sections 118 and 119 of the draft Bill could give rise to some of the issues outlined at paragraphs 29 to 31 of Mr Williams QC’s opinion.

- 3.10 We have also had regard to s154 of GOWA 2006, which applies to any provision of an Act of the Senedd, or a Bill for such an Act, which could be read in such a way as to be outside the Senedd's legislative competence and provides that:
- “(2) The provision is to be read as narrowly as is required for it to be within competence or within the powers, if such a reading is possible, and is to have effect accordingly.”
- 3.11 In our view, such a reading of s118 in respect of the AGW's functions is not possible, as by its very nature it subjects the AGW to the direction of the Senedd and/or Welsh Government, in that the provisions direct the AGW to exercise his functions in a certain way. Therefore, we consider that insofar as sections 118 and 119 of the Bill relate to the AGW's functions, they would be outside the Senedd's competence for the purposes of s108A. This is consistent with Mr Williams QC's opinion, see paragraph 34.
- 3.12 In respect of the ELGC Committee's view on restatement, the report notes (in paragraph 644) that the provisions of the draft Bill replicate arrangements from the Local Government (Wales) Measure 2009 (the “**2009 Measure**”). We understand from this that the ELGC Committee is suggesting that the provisions of the draft Bill do not breach the restrictions set out in paragraph 5 of Part 1 of Schedule 7B, as they fall within the restatement exception set out in paragraph 13 of Part 2 of the same schedule.
- 3.13 Section 23 of the Local Government (Wales) Measure 2009 (set out in full in the Appendix to this advice) makes essentially the same provision as s118 and s119 of the Bill, with one notable exception – the functions of the AGW to which the respective provisions relate. In respect of s119(1)(a) (the functions of auditing the accounts of a principal council under Chapter 1 of Part 2 of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2004); and s119(1)(b) (the functions of undertaking a study under Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2004 in relation to a principal council) these functions are broadly the same as section 23(7)(a) (the functions under section 13 and 41 of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2004), although slightly narrower in application as the former relate to principal councils only rather than to all local government bodies. In respect of s119(1)(c), (carrying out an examination of a principal council under section 15 of the Wellbeing of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015), this function does not appear in section 23 at all – unsurprisingly as it was enacted after the 2009 Measure.
- 3.14 The AGW's view is that s8(1) PAWA 2013 was enacted after the 2009 Measure and so, in accordance with the principle of modification by implied amendment explained above, the provisions of s8(1) PAWA 2013 prevail. We agree with the AGW that s8(1) PAWA 2013 is inconsistent with the provisions of s23 of the 2009 Measure and therefore that the later enactment amends the earlier one, so far as is necessary to remove the inconsistency between them (ie the 2009 Measure should not be read to include the AGW within the scope of its provisions – we have not considered whether it can be read in such a way, or whether the provisions would be impliedly repealed rather than amended). In our view, the restatement exception in paragraph 13 of Part 2 of Schedule 7B cannot apply to the restatement of provisions which have been impliedly amended / repealed.
- 3.15 In conclusion, we consider that the ELGC Committee's view, as set out in its report, is wrong as a matter of law. The provisions of s118 and s119 of the draft Bill fall foul of the prohibition on modifications to s8(1) PAWA 2013 so far as that section relates to the AGW's exercise of functions free from the direction or control of the Assembly (the Senedd) or

Welsh Government. In our view the provisions of the draft Bill do not fall within the carve out at paragraph 5 of Part 1 of Schedule 7B or within the restatement exception in paragraph 13 of Part 2 of Schedule 7B.

3.16 Please let us know if you have any queries or if you would like to discuss our advice.

Yours sincerely

Melanie Carter, Partner and Head of Public & Regulatory

Claire Whittle, Senior Associate

APPENDIX 1

Relevant provisions

Sections 118 and 119 of the Bill

CHAPTER 3

COORDINATION BETWEEN REGULATORS

118 Coordination between regulators

(1) The Auditor General for Wales and the relevant regulators must have regard to the need for coordination in the exercise of their relevant functions.

(2) In respect of each financial year the Auditor General for Wales must produce a timetable in relation to each principal council which sets out the Auditor General's opinion as to the dates or periods in that year on or during which—

(a) the relevant regulators should exercise their relevant functions in relation to the council, and

(b) the Auditor General should exercise the Auditor General's relevant functions in relation to that council.

(3) Before producing a timetable under subsection (2) the Auditor General for Wales must consult the relevant regulators.

(4) The duty under subsection (2) may be discharged by the production of a timetable which relates to more than one financial year.

(5) The Auditor General for Wales and the relevant regulators, in exercising their relevant functions in relation to a principal council, must take all reasonable steps to adhere to the timetable produced in relation to that council under subsection (2).

(6) The Auditor General for Wales must assist the relevant regulators to comply with their duties under subsections (1) and (5).

(7) In this section, "relevant regulators" and "relevant functions" have the meaning given in section 119.

119 "Relevant regulators" and "relevant functions"

(1) For the purposes of section 118 the relevant functions of the Auditor General for Wales are—

(a) auditing the accounts of a principal council under Chapter 1 of Part 2 of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2004 (c. 23);

(b) undertaking a study under Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2004 in relation to a principal council;

(c) carrying out an examination of a principal council under section 15 of the Wellbeing of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 (anaw 2).

(2) For the purposes of section 118, a relevant regulator is a person mentioned in the first column of table 1 and their relevant functions are the functions specified in the second column.

TABLE 1

Relevant regulators	Relevant functions
Her Majesty's Chief Inspector of Education and Training in Wales	Functions under section 38 of the Education Act 1997 (c. 44) (inspection of education functions etc.)
The Welsh Ministers	Functions under section 149A and section 149B of the Social Services and Well-being (Wales) Act 2014 (anaw 4) (reviews etc. of the exercise of social services functions)

(3) The Welsh Ministers may by regulations amend table 1 to— (a) add an entry; (b) amend an entry; (c) omit an entry.

(4) Before making regulations under subsection (3), the Welsh Ministers must consult— (a) such persons representing principal councils as the Welsh Ministers consider appropriate; (b) the Auditor General for Wales; (c) the person to whom a new or amended entry will relate.

Section 8(1) of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2013

8.— How functions are to be exercised

(1) The Auditor General has complete discretion as to the manner in which the functions of that office are exercised and is not subject to the direction or control of the National Assembly or the Welsh Government.

(The reference in s8(1) to the National Assembly has not been updated to reflect the change in name to “the Senedd” provided by s1 of GoWA 2006, as amended by the Senedd & Elections (Wales) Act 2020.)

Government of Wales Act 2006 c. 32

s. 108A Legislative competence

(1) An Act of the Senedd is not law so far as any provision of the Act is outside the Senedd's legislative competence.

(2) A provision is outside that competence so far as any of the following paragraphs apply—

- (a) it extends otherwise than only to England and Wales;
 - (b) it applies otherwise than in relation to Wales or confers, imposes, modifies or removes (or gives power to confer, impose, modify or remove) functions exercisable otherwise than in relation to Wales;
 - (c) it relates to reserved matters (see Schedule 7A);
 - (d) it breaches any of the restrictions in Part 1 of Schedule 7B, having regard to any exception in Part 2 of that Schedule from those restrictions;
 - (e) it is incompatible with the Convention rights or with EU law.
- (3) But subsection (2)(b) does not apply to a provision that—
- (a) is ancillary to a provision of any Act of the Senedd or Senedd Measure or to a devolved provision of an Act of Parliament, and
 - (b) has no greater effect otherwise than in relation to Wales, or in relation to functions exercisable otherwise than in relation to Wales, than is necessary to give effect to the purpose of that provision.
- (4) For this purpose, a provision of an Act of Parliament is "devolved" if it would be within the Senedd's legislative competence if it were contained in an Act of the Senedd (ignoring any requirement for consent or consultation imposed under paragraph 8, 10 or 11 of Schedule 7B or otherwise).
- (5) In determining what is necessary for the purposes of subsection (3), any power to make laws other than that of the Senedd is disregarded.
- (6) The question whether a provision of an Act of the Senedd relates to a reserved matter is determined by reference to the purpose of the provision, having regard (among other things) to its effect in all the circumstances.
- (7) For the purposes of this Act a provision is ancillary to another provision if it—
- (a) provides for the enforcement of the other provision or is otherwise appropriate for making that provision effective, or
 - (b) is otherwise incidental to, or consequential on, that provision.

Schedule 7B

Part 1

Para 5

- (3) A provision of an Act of the Senedd cannot, unless it is an oversight provision and also a non-governmental committee provision—
- (a) make modifications of section 8(1) of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2013 so far as that section relates to the Auditor General's exercise of functions free from the direction or control of the Senedd or Welsh Government, or
 - (b) confer power by subordinate legislation to do so.
- (4) An "*oversight provision*" is a provision of an Act of the Senedd that:
- (a) relates to the oversight or supervision of the Auditor General or of the exercise of the Auditor General's functions, or
 - (b) is ancillary to a provision falling within paragraph (a).
- (5) A "*non-governmental committee provision*" is a provision conferring functions on a committee of the Senedd that:
- (a) does not consist of or include members of the Welsh Government, and
 - (b) is not chaired by a member of the Senedd who is a member of a political group with an executive role,
- or a provision conferring power by subordinate legislation to do so.
- (6) A person designated under section 46(5) to exercise the functions of the First Minister is treated as a member of the Welsh Government for the purposes of sub-paragraph (5)(a).

Part 2

13 Restatement

- (1) Part 1 does not prevent an Act of the Senedd—

- (a) restating the law (or restating it with such modifications as are not prevented by that Part), or
 - (b) repealing or revoking any spent enactment, or conferring power by subordinate legislation to do so.
- (2) For the purposes of paragraph 1, the law on reserved matters includes any restatement in an Act of the Senedd or a Senedd Measure, or subordinate legislation under such an Act or Measure, of the law on reserved matters if the subject-matter of the restatement is a reserved matter.

154 Interpretation of legislation

- (1) This section applies to—
- (a) any provision of a Senedd Measure, or proposed Senedd Measure, which could be read in such a way as to be outside the Senedd's legislative competence,
 - (b) any provision of an Act of the Senedd, or a Bill for such an Act, which could be read in such a way as to be outside the Senedd's legislative competence, and
 - (c) any provision of subordinate legislation made, or purporting to be made, under a Senedd Measure or Act of the Senedd which could be read in such a way as to be outside the powers under which it was, or purported to be, made.
- (2) The provision is to be read as narrowly as is required for it to be within competence or within the powers, if such a reading is possible, and is to have effect accordingly.
- (3) In subsection (1)(c) "*made*" includes confirmed or approved.

Local Government (Wales) Measure 2009

23 Co-ordination of audit etc

- (1) The relevant regulators and the Auditor General for Wales must have regard to the need for co-ordination in the exercise of regulatory functions.
- (2) "Regulatory functions" means the relevant functions of the relevant regulators and the functions of the Auditor General for Wales under subsection (7).
- (3) In relation to each financial year, the Auditor General for Wales must, after consulting the relevant regulators, produce a timetable for each Welsh improvement authority which sets out the Auditor General's opinion as to the dates or times in that year at or during which—
- (a) the relevant regulators should exercise their relevant functions in relation to the authority; and
 - (b) the Auditor General should exercise the functions referred to in subsection (7) in relation to the authority.
- (4) The duty under subsection (3) may be discharged by the production of a timetable which relates to more than one financial year.
- (5) In relation to a Welsh improvement authority, the relevant regulators in exercising their relevant functions and the Auditor General for Wales in exercising the functions referred to in subsection (7) must take all reasonable steps to adhere to the timetable produced in respect of the authority under subsection (3).
- (6) The Auditor General for Wales must assist the relevant regulators to comply with their duties under subsections (1) and (5).
- (7) The functions of the Auditor General for Wales referred to in subsection (2) are the Auditor General's functions under—
- (a) [section 13](#) and [41](#) of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2004; and
 - (b) sections 17 to 19 of this Measure.

Martin Peters
Pennaeth y Gyfraith a Moeseg
Archwilio Cymru

5 Awst 2020

Bil Llywodraeth Leol ac Etholiadau (Cymru) - adran 118

Annwyl Martin,

Diolch am eich llythyr ar 26 Mehefin yn amgáu gohebiaeth gan Bates Wells a chyngor gan Rhodri Williams QC ynghylch cymhwysedd deddfwriaethol y Senedd mewn perthynas ag adran 118 o Fil Llywodraeth Leol ac Etholiadau (Cymru). Roedd hyn yn dilyn y pryderon a leisiwyd gan Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru yn ei lythyr ar 5 Rhagfyr 2019 bod adran 118 o'r Bil yn diwygio (neu'n gyfystyr ag addasu trwy gyfrwng diwygiad ymhlyg i) adran 8(1) o Ddeddf Archwilio Cyhoeddus (Cymru) 2013.

Fel y cofiwch, archwiliodd y Pwyllgor y mater hwn gyda chi fel rhan o'i waith yn casglu tystiolaeth ar egwyddorion cyffredinol y Bil, a chyfeiriodd ato yn ei adroddiad dilynol.

Mae'r Pwyllgor wedi rhoi ystyriaeth bellach i'r mater hwn yng ngoleuni eich gohebiaeth ddiweddar a'r pwyntiau cyfreithiol y mae eich cynghorwyr wedi'u codi.

Mae'r Pwyllgor wedi cael cyngor cyfreithiol sy'n nodi nad yw adran 118 yn anghyson â'r ddarpariaeth bresennol yn adran 8(1) o Ddeddf Archwilio Cyhoeddus (Cymru) 2013, ac yn nodi nad oes angen dibynnu ar yr eithriadau y cyfeirir atynt yn yr adroddiad Cyfnod 1. Ni fyddai'r Pwyllgor fel arfer yn rhannu ein cyngor cyfreithiol ond er eglurder ac i fod o gymorth rydym yn rhestru isod grynodedb o'r pwyntiau y mae ein cynghorwyr cyfreithiol wedi'u gwneud i'r pwyllgor: -

- Ni fydd y swyddogaeth newydd yn cael unrhyw effaith ar sut y gall Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru arfer y swyddogaethau presennol. Mae adran 118 yn creu pŵer newydd a dyletswydd ddwyochrog, ond nid



Senedd Cymru

Bae Caerdydd, Caerdydd, CF99 1SN

✉ SeneddCymunedau@senedd.cymru

☎ 0300 200 6565

Welsh Parliament

Cardiff Bay, Cardiff, CF99 1SN

✉ SeneddCommunities@senedd.wales

☎ 0300 200 6565

ydynt yn gosod unrhyw reolaethau ar sut y mae Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru yn arfer swyddogaethau.

- Nid yw adran 118 yn peryglu, mewn unrhyw ffordd, annibyniaeth Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru. Diben adran 8(1) o Ddeddf Archwilio Cyhoeddus (Cymru) 2013 yw atal y Weithrediaeth neu'r Ddeddfwrfa rhag cyfarwyddo'r modd y mae swyddfa Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru yn cyflawni ei swyddogaethau.
- Mae adran 118 yn rhoi swyddogaeth i Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru gydlynw â rheoleiddwyr eraill a llunio amserlen, ond nid yw'n tanseilio nac yn llywio disgresiwn Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru o ran sut mae'n cyflawni'r swyddogaethau hynny. Mae adran 118(2) yn galluogi Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru i bennu'r amserlen ar ôl ymgynghori â'r rheoleiddwyr perthnasol. Nid oes unrhyw gyfarwyddyd gan y ddeddfwrfa na'r weithrediaeth ar gyfer sut y dylai Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru lunio amserlen, ac nid oes ganddynt unrhyw reolaeth dros gynnwys yr amserlen honno. Mae'r amserlen yn "nodi barn yr Archwilydd Cyffredinol ynglŷn â'r dyddiadau neu'r amserau yn y flwyddyn honno" pryd y dylai rheoleiddwyr perthnasol ac Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru arfer eu swyddogaethau. Nid yw'n pennu (nac yn rhoi pŵer i Weinidogion Cymru i wneud rheoliadau sy'n nodi) sut y dylai Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru arfer y swyddogaethau hynny, ar wahân i'r gofyniad iddo geisio cadw at amserlen y mae'n ofynnol iddo ei pharatoi a chytuno arni gyda'r rheoleiddwyr perthnasol. Nid yw'r ddarpariaeth yn cynnwys unrhyw gosb benodol am beidio â phennu amserlen ac mae'r rhwymedigaeth ar Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru a'r rheoleiddwyr eraill yn un "pob cam rhesymol", sy'n galluogi pob rheolydd ac Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru i weithredu y tu hwnt i'r amserlen os oes rheswm arbennig.
- Diben adran 8(1) o Ddeddf Archwilio Cyhoeddus (Cymru) 2013, a'r rheswm y mae'n cael ei gwarchod, yw i sicrhau nad yw'r weithrediaeth neu'r ddeddfwrfa'n dylanwadu ar y swyddogaeth archwilio cyhoeddus. Nid yw hynny'n golygu na all y Senedd basio deddfwriaeth sy'n gosod neu'n addasu swyddogaethau neu ofynion ar Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru yn gyffredinol. I'r graddau y gellid ystyried bod gan adran 118 o'r Bil unrhyw reolaeth dros Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru, mae'r cyngor yr ydym wedi'i gael yn datgan nad yw'n mynd ymhellach na'r amseriad ar gyfer arfer y swyddogaethau presennol (a hyd yn oed wedyn, Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru fydd yn penderfynu ar y cyflymder, yn ddarostyngedig i'r gofyniad



ymgyngori yn adran 11(3)) ynghyd â gofyniad ar Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru i gynorthwyo'r rheoleiddwyr perthnasol.

- Pe bai adran 8(1) o Ddeddf Archwilio Cyhoeddus (Cymru) 2013 yn cael ei dehongli mor eang fel na chaiff y Senedd, mewn deddfwriaeth sylfaenol, osod nac addasu gofynion ynghylch yr amserlen ar gyfer cydymffurfio â swyddogaethau presennol Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru, gallai hynny olygu y byddai llacio gofynion adrodd, er enghraifft, ar Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru mewn perthynas â chyfrifon Ombwdsmon Gwasanaethau Cyhoeddus Cymru, y tu allan i gymhwysedd y Senedd. Gwnaed hyn yn ddiweddar yn Neddf Ombwdsmon Gwasanaethau Cyhoeddus (Cymru) 2019.

Gobeithio y bydd y wybodaeth hon yn ddefnyddiol.

Yn gywir



John Griffiths AS

Cadeirydd

Croesewir gohebiaeth yn Gymraeg neu Saesneg.

We welcome correspondence in Welsh or English.



John Griffiths AS
Cadeirydd y Pwyllgor Cydraddoldeb, Llywodraeth Leol a Chymunedau
Llywodraeth Cymru
Bae Caerdydd
Caerdydd CF99 1SN

30 Medi 2020

Annwyl John,

Bil Llywodraeth Leol ac Etholiadau: Cymhwysedd Deddfwriaethol

Diolch am eich llythyr dyddiedig 5 Awst 2020 lle rydych yn crynhoi'r cyngor a dderbyniodd y Pwyllgor ynghylch adran 118 o'r Bil Llywodraeth Leol ac Etholiadau.

Mae'n ddrwg gennyf, fodd bynnag, nad ydym yn cytuno â nifer o bwyntiau allweddol a nodir yn eich crynodeb, ac rydym yn dal i ystyried bod adran 118 y Bil y tu allan i gymhwysedd deddfwriaethol y Senedd. Rwyf yn sylweddoli mai mater i'r Senedd yw gwneud deddfwriaeth a bod gan eich Pwyllgor chi ei ffynonellau ei hun o gyngor arbenigol. Mae'r mater sy'n peri pryder i ni, fodd bynnag, yn mynd at galon yr egwyddor gyfansoddiadol sylfaenol sydd wedi'i hymgorffori yn Neddf Archwilio Cyhoeddus (Cymru) 2013, sef annibyniaeth swydd yr Archwilydd Cyffredinol. Gobeithiaf y byddwch yn deall, felly, pam yr ydym yn teimlo'r angen i ysgrifennu eto ac y byddwch yn ystyried y cyflwyniad pellach hwn wrth i chi gwblhau Cyfnod 2 y gwaith craffu ar y Bil.

Yn eich llythyr, dywedwch, "Mae Adran 118 yn creu pŵer newydd a dyletswydd ddwyochrog, ond nid ydynt yn gosod unrhyw reolaeth ar y ffordd y mae Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru yn arfer swyddogaethau." Nid ydym ni'n meddwl na fydd adran 118 yn cael effaith o'r fath ar y ffordd y gall yr Archwilydd Cyffredinol arfer ei swyddogaethau presennol. Fel y mae eich crynodeb yn egluro, mae adran 118 yn ei gwneud yn ofynnol i'r Archwilydd Cyffredinol lunio amserlenni ar gyfer arfer ei swyddogaethau, gan gynnwys gorfod ymgynghori â'r "rheoleiddwyr perthnasol" ymlaen llaw. Mae hefyd yn ei gwneud yn ofynnol i'r Archwilydd Cyffredinol "gymryd pob cam rhesymol i gadw at yr amserlen[ni]". Fodd bynnag, mae eich crynodeb yn gadael allan y ffaith fod Tabl 1 o adran 119 yn nodi mai un o'r rheoleiddwyr perthnasol yw Gweinidogion Cymru (y weithrediaeth). Mae gennym, felly, sefyllfa lle mae'n rhaid i'r Archwilydd Cyffredinol (a) ymgynghori â Gweinidogion Cymru ynghylch arfer ei swyddogaethau, (b) yn rhinwedd cyfraith gyhoeddus, cymryd yr ymgynghoriad hwnnw i ystyriaeth wrth lunio amserlenni a (c) "cymryd pob cam

rhesymol" i gadw at yr amserlenni wrth arfer ei swyddogaethau. At hynny, pan fydd yn arfer y swyddogaethau presennol, y cyfeirir atynt yn adran 119, bydd yr Archwilydd Cyffredinol yn ddarostyngedig i ddyletswydd i ystyried yr angen i gydweithredu â'r rheoleiddwyr perthnasol.

Nid ydym yn cytuno â'ch barn fod adran 118 yn creu pŵer newydd; yn ein barn ni gosod dyletswyddau y mae. Rydym yn parhau o'r farn fod adrannau 118 a 119 y tu allan i gymhwysedd deddfwriaethol y Senedd, gan eu bod yn darostwng yr Archwilydd Cyffredinol i "gyfarwyddyd neu reolaeth ... Llywodraeth Cymru" yn groes i adran 8(1) o Ddeddf Archwilio Cyhoeddus (Cymru) 2013 ac adran 108A o Ddeddf Llywodraeth Cymru 2006.

Awgryma eich crynodeb mai mater o amseru yn unig yw gofynion adran 118 ac nad ydynt yn cyffwrdd â'r modd y caiff swyddogaethau eu harfer. Fodd bynnag, mae cysylltiad sylweddol rhwng amseru a dull. Bydd y cyfyngiad ychwanegol ar yr amserlenni ar gyfer arfer swyddogaethau'r Archwilydd Cyffredinol, sy'n angenrheidiol i fodloni Gweinidogion Cymru, yn effeithio ar y modd y caiff y swyddogaethau hynny eu harfer. Bydd y cyfyngiad ychwanegol ar amserlenni yn anochel yn lleihau ehangder a/neu ddyfnder archwiliadau ac astudiaethau archwilio.

Pe bai'n wir na fyddai adran 118 yn cael unrhyw effaith ar y ffordd y gall Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru arfer swyddogaethau, rhaid i'r cwestiwn godi, "beth yw pwrpas yr adran?" Sylwaf fod y Gweinidog wedi dweud y bydd yr adran yn lleihau beichiau gweinyddol mewn perthynas ag archwilio. Fodd bynnag, y gwrthwyneb sy'n llawer mwy tebygol o fod yn wir. Bydd yr adran yn cynyddu'r beichiau gweinyddol, gan y bydd yn rhaid i'r Archwilydd Cyffredinol ailddechrau ymgynghori a llunio amserlenni - baich ym Mesur 2009 yr oedd ei ddileu ymhlyg yn Neddf 2013. Fel y nodwyd yn fy llythyr dyddiedig 26 Mehefin 2020, mae cydweithrediad addas yn cael ei ddarparu ar sail disgresiwn drwy ein trefniadau "Arolygu Cymru" gwirfoddol. Ar wahân i gwestiynau ynghylch cymhwysedd deddfwriaethol, mae adran 118, felly, nid yn unig yn ddianghenraid ond yn ailosod baich gweinyddol.

Y pwynt olaf yn eich crynodeb yw pe bai adran 8(1) o Ddeddf 2013 yn cael ei dehongli mor eang fel na chaiff y Senedd ddeddfu i osod nac addasu gofynion ynghylch amseriad y swyddogaethau presennol, yna gallai hynny olygu y byddai llacio gofynion adrodd, fel y gwnaed yn ddiweddar, er enghraifft, yn Neddf Ombwdsmon Gwasanaethau Cyhoeddus Cymru 2019, y tu allan i gymhwysedd y Senedd. Ymddengys, fodd bynnag, fod camsyniad o fewn yr awgrym hwnnw. Mae'n amlwg nad yw deddfwriaeth sy'n llacio gofynion adrodd (neu, yn hytrach, yn achos Deddf 2019, deddfwriaeth sy'n gwneud terfynau amser statudol yn bosibl er mwyn bodloni gofynion cyfiawnder naturiol) yn gyfystyr â gostyngiad yn nisgresiwn yr Archwilydd Cyffredinol wrth arfer swyddogaethau. Nid yw ychwaith, eto yn nhermau cymhwysedd, yn darostwng yr Archwilydd Cyffredinol i gyfarwyddyd neu reolaeth y Senedd neu Lywodraeth Cymru. Nid yw llacio'r gofynion amseru yn mynd yn groes i adran 8(1) o Ddeddf 2013 nac adran 108A o Ddeddf Llywodraeth Cymru 2006. Ond nid llacio'r gofynion amseru y mae adran 118; mae'n gosod gofynion sy'n darostwng

yr Archwilydd Cyffredinol i gyfarwyddyd a/neu reolaeth Llywodraeth Cymru, fel y disgrifir uchod.

Gobeithiaf y medrwch gymryd y pwyntiau hyn i ystyriaeth wrth ystyried y Bil yng Nghyfnod 2. Byddwn yn hapus i drafod hyn ymhellach.

O ystyried swyddogaeth y Gweinidog yn y mater hwn, rwyf yn anfon copi o'r llythyr hwn at y Gweinidog. Ac o ystyried y posibilrwydd o leihau disgresiwn yr Archwilydd Cyffredinol wrth arfer swyddogaethau, rwyf hefyd yn anfon copi o'r llythyr hwn at Gadeiryddion y Pwyllgor Cyfrifon Cyhoeddus a'r Pwyllgor Cyllid.

Yn gywir,



Martin Peters

Pennaeth y Gyfraith a Moeseg

Martin Peters
Pennaeth y Gyfraith a Moeseg
Archwilio Cymru

27 Hydref 2020

Bil Llywodraeth Leol ac Etholiadau (Cymru) – adran 118


Annwyl Martin,

Diolch am eich llythyr dyddiedig 30 Medi, lle gwnaethoch nodi ymhellach eich barn ynghylch cymhwysedd deddfwriaethol y Senedd o ran adran 118 o Fil Llywodraeth Leol ac Etholiadau (Cymru). Roedd hyn ymhellach i'r pryderon a godwyd gan Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru yn ei lythyr dyddiedig 5 Rhagfyr 2019 a'i ohebiaeth ddilynol ar y mater hwn.

Bu'r Pwyllgor yn trafod eich llythyr yn ystod y cyfarfod ar 9 Hydref, a nodwyd eich pryderon.

Rwy'n anfon copi o'r llythyr hwn at y Gweinidog Tai a Llywodraeth Leol a Chadeiryddion Pwyllgorau Cyllid a Chyfrifon Cyhoeddus y Senedd.

Yn gywir



John Griffiths AS

Cadeirydd

Croesewir gohebiaeth yn Gymraeg neu Saesneg.

We welcome correspondence in Welsh or English.



Senedd Cymru

Bae Caerdydd, Caerdydd, CF99 1SN

✉ SeneddCymunedau@senedd.cymru

☎ 0300 200 6565

Welsh Parliament

Cardiff Bay, Cardiff, CF99 1SN

✉ SeneddCommunities@senedd.wales

☎ 0300 200 6565